

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,802	05/04/2005	Yeong-Chun Lee	. PIP-101	4567
53317 7590 02/05/2008 AQUILLA PATENTS & MARKS, PLLC 221 COE HILL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			LEE, CLOUD K	
CENTER HAR	RBOR, NH 03226-3605		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			·	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,802	LEE, YEONG-CHUN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cloud K. Lee	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to accuse the application to become ABANDO	FION, be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ail Date nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/533,802 Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the stainless threads" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanamori (JP 2000-170222A).

Kanamori discloses a water service box comprising a case (8a), a built-in flexible hose (22a, the portion inserts into a corrugated tube (23)) connecting a soft tube (the upper portion outside the corrugated tube (23)) with a water tap (12) and a valve plate (8b), wherein one end of the flexible hose connects and fastens with the soft tube protected by the corrugated tube (23)

Application/Control Number:

10/533,802 Art Unit: 3753

through a reducing socket (25b) and the other end of the flexible hose connects and fastens with the water tap (12), a friction projection (the surface of the valve place 8b is considered the friction projection) and a socket insertion hole (8n) formed on the valve plate, a rim member (see 8n) around the socket insertion hose.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanamori (JP 200-170222A) in view of Kanazuki (JP408033160A).

Kanamori discloses the water tap is fixed with the plate (8) through a socket insertion hole (8n), however, Kanamori fails to disclose an access plate.

Kanazuki discloses a maintenance hole (see figure 1, near element 7), an access plate (17) and a rim member (7) around the maintenance hole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an extra plate with an extra access hole on a valve plate, (in this case, Kanazuki provides an access plate (17) with an access hole (near element 7)) in order to provide an easy access to the flexible hole for maintenance purpose.

Application/Control Number:

10/533,802 Art Unit: 3753

7. Claim 11 (as understood) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanamori (JP 200-170222A) in view of Kanazuki (JP408033160A) as applied to claims 7-10 above, and further in view of Mulvey et al (US Patent No. 6,106,027).

The combination of Kanamori and Kanazuki fails to disclose the flexible hose is furnished with coil springs inside or outside of the flexible hose.

Mulvey et al disclose a coil spring (see Col 4 lines 6-17 and element 110) around the flexible hose (114). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a coil spring around the flexible hose in order to provide an additional reinforcement for the flexible hose (see Col 4 lines 6-17).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cloud K. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-7206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571)272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/533,802 Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL

RAMESH KIRSHNAMURTHY
PRIMARY EXAMINER